

**Southern California Swimming  
Eastern Committee Meeting**

**February 14, 2012  
Thompson Aquatic Center Conference Room  
University of Redlands, Redlands, CA**

**Attendees:** Scott Gainey (EAC), Susie Sheppard (RAA), Rodney Pilman (RAA), John Ries (TCC), Jennifer Beech (TMEC), Julian Jacobs (YST), Jason Bradley (YST), John Salvino (CCAQ), Casey Heinen (FAC), Tracy Garcia (FAC), Camille Dang (FAC), Bob Krom (PDSC), Nancy Kawahara (EAC), Wendall Corley (RST), James Bilbrey (MESA), Edmund Gornay (RST), Robyn Schiffer (MVAQ), Jan Szuskiewicz (UN), Marcia Ryan (YST), Ellie Abihider (STAR), Tressa R. Ries (TCC), Travis Lansing (HVDA), Adam Schmitt (PSP), Becky Moon (VSC)

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	FURTHER ACTION
<b>CALL TO ORDER</b>	Scott Gainey called meeting to order 8:08pm	
<b>Minutes</b>	January 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2012 Minutes Approved	
<b>Treasurer's Report</b>	Suzie Shepard reported a balance of \$9,277.50 not including January meets.	
<b>Chair's Report</b>	Scott Gainey: A) Recommended NO March meeting 2012 / No July meeting 2012 B) Reported WAG times posted on SCS website C) On-line clinic: Training for Capacity vs Utilization (Bob Bowman) is now available. Previous clinic was for Recovery Nutrition (is there more than chocolate milk). D) ASCA also has a memo off to the side and will provide continuing education credits for on-line clinic participation.	
<b>V. Chair Report</b>	Camille Dang: not present	
<b>Coach Report</b>	James Bilbrey reported the Coach Salary Survey will be out in a few days.	
<b>Senior Report</b>	Rodney Pilman: A) SR meets discussed (All SCS SR meets in 2016 = LC) / B) Kevin Perry 2015= LC C) Kevin Perry meet (keep name, but may be bid out to other locations) reported D) Task force created to review 'awards' to swimmers (travel fund) number of Sr Ntl swimmers at 19 and under age. [Salo, Crossen, Stoddard, Julian]	
<b>Age Group Report</b>	Jennifer Beech: A) Festival applications on SCS website (bottom right 'All-Star logo') The meet is on the 24-26. The youngsters have been picked, and Jay will get that information out.	
<b>Program Report</b>	Tracy Garcia: A) Program Committee (4 coach + 2 non coach – Tracy=1) reported she has the sanction numbers for the PSP meet and will be on web site soon. Jenn, Ellie and Tressa turned in their forms for their meets as well. B) Tracy put out the new Swimmer Splash counts sent out with agenda. C) Jan and Ervin and Darlys have been helping with program committee. Jan reported that for the LC meets that only have one location, admin plans to put the 11-12's boys and girls in the mornings. 5-8's will award together, 7-10's will award together, and the 13-14's and 15+ will award separately. D) There was discussion regarding the use of old forms and the meet template; teams can use old forms and just update the times? Concern is the meet template. Some teams can provide their own forms and Tracy can update. The forms are sent to admin prior to being sent to Mary Jo, and then sent to the team. The questions whether to publicize the meet template was raised. The admins would like to see the templates, sanctions forms for March and April are in and will be posted within a couple days. Jason said the teams should not have the option to choose the events because its chosen by SCS. When program committee met the meets were already chosen, then adjustments were made at the following program meetings. Clem would provide meet templates from the prior year, and teams would simply update them. E) Jennifer Tarazona, James Bilbury, Jenn Beech, Adam Schmitt were nominated to be on the committee.	
<b>Review Report</b>	Mike Dickson: not present	
<b>Athlete Report</b>	Julian Jacobs / Marcia Ryan Marcia reported the last JO meet was a well run meet and a good venue. The swimmers enjoyed the indoor pool at Older JO's. Air quality was good, parking was great at LA College pool.	
<b>Officials Report</b>	Jan Szuskiewicz: no report	

<b>Safety Report</b>	Ellie Abihider: no report	
<b>Ad-Hoc Committee</b>	No Committee Reports	
<b>Unfinished Business</b>	<p>- Program Committee to discuss 13 – 14 vs 13 &amp; Over events (individual and relay events) <i>Supplemental MOTIONS SHEET was distributed to all attendees</i></p> <p>-Team Manager / Meet Manager reimbursements (May 2011) – Criteria to determine <b>Refer to the motions sheet ITEM #1</b> A) Reimbursements have been given for both team and meet manager from the EC in the past. A motion was voted on in 2005, but there was a stipulation for EC participation to be eligible. Motion needs an expiration date, some teams have been already reimbursed for start up and many teams were reimbursed for upgrades to meet manager. Motions need to be made if EC will continue to reimburse for meet and team manager, if original receipt is turned in within 60 days.</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> motion to reimburse EC teams for team manager and meet manager. PASSED unanimous</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> motion to have a limit of team manager for just the start up which is now the equivalent of the bronze package and appropriate updates. PASSED unanimous, Jan Szuszkiewiz abstained</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> EC teams who are awarded a meet will be reimbursed for the start up version of meet manager and/or any applicable updates PASSED unanimous, Jan Szuszkiewiz abstained</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> for the whole package of reimbursement, do we want to put this in the standing rules so that it's posted for future reference.</p> <p>Friendly Amendment: Motion to add to Standing rules should include the requirement for teams requesting reimbursement for team manager to attend 6 of 8 EC meetings? Or a percentage of the EC meetings. The questions whether new teams who've already purchased TM or MM would be eligible for retroactive reimbursement?</p> <p><b>MOTION TABLED</b></p>	<p>Scott will follow up on with Mary Jo regarding retroactive reimbursement.</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> Motion will be revisited so we can see clear language before approving.</p>
<b>New Business</b>	<p>New Business-</p> <p>A) Timeliness of forms to Program Chair (Sanction timelines)</p> <p>B) Address – Standing rules (4A of bid procedure) – 2012 or revise rule? "... 240 count to bid in the FIRST ROUND" (May 2011 minutes)</p> <p>C) Removal of March meeting 2012 (from meeting calendar) &amp; Removal of July 2012 meeting (from meeting calendar) <b>Refer to the motions sheet Item #2</b> <b>MOTION:</b> to cancel March and July meetings <b>PASSED:</b> unanimous, Jan Szuszkiewiz abstained</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> to revise standing rules to reflect new meeting months. New language in Standing rules: Section 1: Regular Committee meetings shall be held on the Second Tuesday of each month. (Black months are March, July, August and December). <b>PASSED</b></p> <p>D) Discussion of Standing rules Section 9 (Team Merger ... Desert, etc.) <b>Refer to the motions sheet Item "POSSIBLE STANDING RULES ISSUES"</b> <b>Refer to the motions sheet Item #5</b> Following the precedent that two teams merged the merged will combine swimmer and splash counts. <b>DISCUSSION:</b> This has been precedence reported that when a team merges you end up combining splash and swimmer counts. Apple Valley Wave and Desert Total 468/65</p> <p><b>MOTION:</b> Place procedure in Standing rules to clearly define for future Committee leaders. <b>DISCUSSION:</b> revise Article VII: Section 9, page 9, to reflect the merging of the splash / swimmer counts. Standing rules rewritten to include item 'B'. <b>PASSED:</b> Jan Szuszkiewiz abstained</p>	

	<p><b>MOTION:</b>  Article VII Section 9 - Shall now read:  Section 9:  A - If a team merges with another existing Committee team, one of the teams shall lose its scheduled meet(s). The team will decide which pre-existing club shall vacate its meet(s). Vacant meet(s) will be filled according to Section 6 with the following exception: The new team is excluded from the bid procedure (of this vacated meet).  B - The merged team will combine all splash and swimmer counts as stood prior to the merger.</p> <p>DISCUSSION: toward the end of the season this puts the merged team at an unfair advantage. Not a major concern of most coaches, seems punitive for no reason. No further action was taken on this issue. The committee then moved to vote on the motion.</p> <p>PASSED unanimous</p> <p><b><i>Refer to the motions sheet Item Article VII Section 4 (page 8)</i></b></p> <p>Reference to Article VII Section 4 (page 8): Teams must have a minimum swimmer count of 240 to bid.  <b>MOTION:</b> to amend Section 4A to read Teams must have a minimum swimmer count of 240 to bid "in the first round".</p> <p>DISCUSSION: It was used last June Bid meeting and seemed to have no ill effects and will prevent 3 and 4 rounds or begging of teams to host swim meets.</p> <p>PASSED unanimous</p> <p>E) Program committee 13-14's or 15+'s 13+over in longer events  After some discussion – it was given to the Program Committee to address the need for the uniformity. The longer events were often combined to maintain timeline, but presents a problem when they are split out again for one of the age groups as they may have just swam.</p> <p>F). Timeliness of the meet forms. There was a form provided by Jan for Meet Preparation Timeline.</p>	<p>Program Committee to address in their meeting and present to the EC in our June Bid meeting information.</p> <p>Scott G will email this out to upcoming teams that are hosting meets through the end of the year to help guide them. If there's anything changes needed the program committee will respond.</p>
<b>Presentation</b>	NONE SCHEDULED	
<b>Announcements</b>	<p><b>PICK UP YOUR AWARDS ON THE TABLE</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) New rule books and swim guides are available, contact Mary Jo if you haven't received yours yet.</li> <li>2) Rep from Arena is interested in coming out to potentially sponsor swimmers or teams. Coaches suggested rep come early before meeting to do his presentation.</li> <li>3) 15-16's boys TCC broke a record? – 200 MRly</li> <li>4) MESA and Empire received Level 2 recognition from Club Recognition</li> <li>5) Scott would like to see more teams get involved in USA Swimming Club Recognition program, and helps build team structure.</li> <li>6) Jason Bradley asked if as a section, if there is any consideration to take these SCS champion meets, swimming fast to slow? Championship is swam slow to fast to be consistent, Scott G will bring this to Jeri and Mary Jo and find more information. CA and NV wants to avoid putting small teams, mid teams, small medium large divisions recognitions.</li> <li>7) Adam Schmitt suggested getting rid of the soft cut for relays and you can only score A and B relays? Only 2 relays can score, or coming up with a fairer scoring method for relays.</li> </ol>	<p>*Jenn Beech to take to April 7 Age Group Think Tank meeting</p> <p>*Jenn Beech to take to April 7<sup>th</sup> Age Group Think Tank meeting.</p>
<b>Adjournment</b>	Adjourned at 10:03pm.	

Next Meeting- **Tuesday, April 10, 2012** University of Redlands

Eastern Committee February 14, 2012 meeting. – MOTIONS SHEET  
[Blue print is what was voted on and accepted](#)

Quick list topics:

1 – Team Manager / Meet Manager reimbursements by Eastern Committee.

Will there be reimbursements for both Team and Meet Manager?  
[Motioned /Seconded /Carried \(M/S/C\)](#)

What will the reimbursement amount be?  
[Limited to the START UP and UPDATES of older VERSIONS only. – M/S/C](#)

**MOTION:** To write in the standing rules for consistency for future Eastern Committee officers to reference. Reference and add clause of attendance of 75% of Eastern Committee meetings must be attended prior to reimbursement. **Motion Tabled until April meeting** when language can be written and reviewed prior to posting.

At current these are the start up rates listed as a reference:

**Quick facts:**

TM 6.0 – upgrade from 5.0 = 75.00 (if purchased 5.0 after 4/15/2010)  
TM 6.0 – upgrade from 5.0 = \$125.00 (if purchased before 4/14/ 2010)  
\$170 = Bronze package (Bronze to Silver = \$225)

Meet Manager

MM – upgrade from 3.0 (after April 1, 2011) = \$149.00  
MM – upgrade to 4.0 / 3.0 / 2.0 or 1.4 = 229.00  
MM – Start up = 319.00

**2 - March and July Meeting**

Do you want a March Meeting for 2012? Do you want a July meeting for 2012?  
If remove both March and July meetings from 2012 calendar – [M/S/C](#)

Do you want to revise the Standing Rules to reflect the changes or continue to do a year by year case? If amend the Standing Rules ... [M/S/C](#)

**3 – Program committee 13 – 14 vs 13 & Over in events (individual / relays)**

Currently: quicker events are 13-14 & 15 & Over /Longer events (200's) are 13 & Over.

Options:

A - Make all age group events for 13 and over athletes as 13 & Over

B - Make all age group events for 13 and over swimmers as: 13 – 14 & 15 &Over

Motion /// none made, given to newly assembled Program Committee to address prior to the June Bid meeting when laying out the 2013 – calendar.

#### **4 – Timeliness of forms to Program Chair – (see emailed form)**

Accept – discuss revise form Motion / Second / Discussion .... Vote

--- If accepted (with or without revisions) .. – No motion made – just continue to review. Should incidents happen, may revisit with consequences.

**5 – Teams ...** Apple Valley & Desert Merged in November (AVW – did not renew charter in Dec) ...  
Move AVW swimmer / splash counts to DSRT. M/S/C

#### **POSSIBLE STANDING RULES ISSUES....**

\*Remove March / July Meetings

ARTICLE IX: (Section 1) --- page 9

++ Section 1: Regular Committee meetings shall be held on the Second Tuesday of each month. (Black Months are August and December)

**>>Amended to read: Section 1: Regular Committee meetings shall be held on the Second Tuesday of each month. (Black months are March, July, August and December).**

ARTICLE VII: Section 4 A (page 8)

++Section 4A: Teams must have a minimum swimmer count of 240 to Bid

**>>Amended to read: Section 4A: Teams must have a minimum swimmer count of 240 to Bid in the first round.**

*ARTICLE VII: Section 9 (page 9)*

++Section 9: *If a team merges with another existing Committee team, one of the teams shall lose its scheduled meet(s). The team will decide which pre-existing club shall vacate its meet(s). Vacant meet(s) will be filled according to Section 6 with the following exception: The new team is excluded from the Bid procedure.*

>>Amended to read:

Section 9: If two or more teams merge in the Eastern Committee

~~A—Only one team may keep all of its pre-awarded swim meets. All other teams in the merger must relinquish at least one swim meet back to the Eastern Committee to be filled according to Section 6 of these Standing Rules. The newly merged team is excluded from this bid procedure to award these vacated swim meets.~~

**A - If a team merges with another existing Committee team, one of the teams shall lose its scheduled meet(s). The team will decide which pre-existing club shall vacate its meet(s). Vacant meet(s) will be filled according to Section 6 with the following exception: The new team is excluded from the Bid procedure (of the vacated meet).**

**B – The merged team will combine all splash and swimmer counts as stood prior to the merger.**